IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CHARLA R. POWELL,) Civil No. 3:10-975 DCN
Plaintiff,)
vs.)) <u>ORDER</u>
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,))
Defendant.)))

This Social Security case is before the Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §405(g) for further administrative action.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). On September 9, 2011, defendant filed a reply stating that

¹In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the consequences of failure to object to a magistrate judge's

3:10-cv-00975-DCN Date Filed 09/12/11 Entry Number 18 Page 2 of 2

he will not file objections to the Report and Recommendation.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is incorporated into this Order. For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge, the decision of the Commissioner is hereby **REVERSED AND REMANDED** under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §405(g) for further administrative action.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

Chief United States District Judge

Charleston, South Carolina September 12, 2011

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." <u>Id.</u> at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the <u>consequences</u> at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report.